



rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>

[PEGEGOG] Article Review Request

1 pesan

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi <editor@pegegog.net>
Kepada: rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>

11 Mei 2022 pukul 00.11

Dear rohmad rohmad

I believe that you would serve as an excellent reviewer of the manuscript, "Improvement of Lecturer Performance during Pandemic Covid-19," which has been submitted to Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction. The submission's abstract is inserted below, and I hope that you will consider undertaking this important task for us.

Please log into the journal web site by 2022-05-24 to indicate whether you will undertake the review or not, as well as to access the submission and to record your review and recommendation.

The review itself is due 2022-06-07.

Submission URL: <https://www.pegegog.net/index.php/pegegog/reviewer/submission?submissionId=1945&reviewId=2240&key=CmxBDb>

Thank you for considering this request.

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi
editor@pegegog.net


"Improvement of Lecturer Performance during Pandemic Covid-19"

Lecturers are very valuable human resources for organizations, in learning process has shifted from offline to online during the Covid-19 pandemic. Therefore, many lecturers should have creative and critical thinking in teaching the students. It is difficult because it involves above-average performance. This research focuses for lecturers of Human Resources Development of Marine Transportation at the Department of Transportation, Ministry of Transportation. In testing the direct effect, this research conducts the Multivariate Structural Equation Model (SEM) technique. This research uses 277 lecturers with a sample of 145 lecturers who already have academic positions in the Human Resources Development for Marine Transportation of the Ministry of Transportation. The result of this research demonstrates that the performance of the lecturer of the Matra Laut College of Human Resources Development Agency.

[For Frequently Asked Questions](#)

[Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi](#)

[← Back to Submissions](#)

Review Files		Q Search	
 8370	Sarifuddin_Manuscript.rtf	May 10, 2022	Blinded manuscript

Reviewer Guidelines

[Review Guidelines](#)

Pegegog Review Form

1) Does the title reflect the content of the study? *

Please, write your suggestions about the Title, if any, into the following field.

2) Does the abstract summarize the essential information in the study? *

Please, write your suggestions about the Abstract, if any, into the following field.

3) Does the introduction section adequately explain the problems the study address and the framework of the study? Are the importance and the contribution/implications of the study clearly stated?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Introduction, if any, into the following field.

The introduction section is recommended to describe the urgency and position of lecturers in

4) Are research questions and/or hypotheses in line with the focus of the study?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Research Questions or Hypotheses , if any, into the following field.

Research questions have not been explicitly stated in the introduction section, it is recommen

5) Are the method and technique(s) employed appropriate for the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Method or Technique, if any, into the following field.

The description of the method is incomplete. He suggests a complete cover; paradigms, types

6) Is the sample or the participants pertinent to the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Sample or Participants, if any, into the following field.

it is recommended to explain the technique of sample selection as well as the reasons for its s

7) Are the data collection instruments employed appropriate for the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Data Collection Instruments, if any, into the following field.

In the research methods section has not explicitly stated the data collection techniques and ir

8) Are the data analyses employed appropriate for the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Data Analyses , if any, into the following field.

It is recommended to explain data analysis techniques and the reasons for choosing data ana

9) Are the presented results in accord with the research questions and/or the hypotheses?

*

Not entirely, needs major revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Results, if any, into the following field.

Discussion of research results has not been prepared systematically; Recommended data pre:

10) Does the discussion section address adequately both results and research questions/hypotheses?

*

Not entirely, needs major revision. **Please, write your suggestion about the Discussions, if any, into the following field.**

Discussion of research results is not done optimally. It is recommended that the results of the

11) Is the conclusion logically supported by the obtained results? *Yes, but needs minor revision. **Please, write your suggestions about the Conclusion, if any, into the following field.**

Conclusions to be focused on the answer to research questions accompanied by evidence.

12) Is limitations and suggestions section sufficient and pertinents to the scope of the study?

*

Not entirely, needs major revision. **Please, write your suggestions about the Limitation and/or Suggestion, if any, into the following field.**

The limitations of the study have not been stated in the article. Research limitations can be; tr

13) Are the references used relevant and up-to-date? *Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the References, if any, into the following field.

References used are published in 1950-2020. Publications of the last 5 years (2017 to the present)

14) Are the paper's quality, structure and grammar excellent and perfectly crafted?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▾

Please, write your suggestions about the study's quality, structure and grammar, if any, into the following field.

There needs to be an improvement in the number of sentences, there are some sentences that

15) Is the paper content original? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▾

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Author(s)): *

The article needs to be corrected in the section; title, abstract, keyword, introduction, literature study/ literature review, research methods, presentation of results and analysis, discussion of research results, research limitations, conclusions, suggestions/recommendations, and improvement of some sentence structures, the addition of references that are up to date (the last 5 years).

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Editor): *

If the article is accepted then the article needs to be corrected in the section; title, abstract, keyword, introduction, literature study/ literature review, research methods, presentation of results and analysis, discussion of research results, research limitations, conclusions, suggestions/recommendations, improvement of some sentence structures, the addition of references that are up to date (the last 5 years).

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

Reviewer Files	Q Search
<i>No Files</i>	

Review Discussions	Add discussion			
Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
<i>No Items</i>				

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

 ▼[Submit Review](#)[Save for Later](#)[Go Back](#)

* Denotes required field



rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>

[PEGEGOG] Article Review Request

3 pesan

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi <editor@pegegog.net>
Kepada: rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>

26 April 2022 pukul 02.34

Dear rohmad rohmad

I believe that you would serve as an excellent reviewer of the manuscript, "The effect of blended project-based learning for enhancing student's scientific literacy skills: An experimental study in University," which has been submitted to Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction. The submission's abstract is inserted below, and I hope that you will consider undertaking this important task for us.

Please log into the journal web site by 2022-05-09 to indicate whether you will undertake the review or not, as well as to access the submission and to record your review and recommendation.

The review itself is due 2022-05-23.

Submission URL: <https://www.pegegog.net/index.php/pegegog/reviewer/submission?submissionId=1921&reviewId=2192&key=sPRWN3>

Thank you for considering this request.

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi
editor@pegegog.net

"The effect of blended project-based learning for enhancing student's scientific literacy skills: An experimental study in University"

As many studies focus on improving scientific literacy skills in students, there are still many gaps, thus an appropriate learning innovations are needed to get maximum results. This study aimed to evaluate the implementation of blended project-based learning in enhancing students' scientific literacy skills at the university. The experimental study involved 40 students of class A from the Department of Biology Education as the experimental group and 40 students of class B in the same department as the control group. The research instrument used the Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) data. The results showed a significant increase in all aspects of scientific literacy skills after the implementation of blended project based-learning at the university such as an explaining phenomena scientifically, designing and evaluating scientific inquiry, and interpreting data and evidence scientifically. This research is beneficial for university lecturers for a solution to the problem of scientific literacy skills in students especially in university. Furthermore, there needs to be similar research at the elementary, junior high, and senior high school levels.

For Frequently Asked Questions

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi

rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>
Kepada: Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi <editor@pegegog.net>

26 April 2022 pukul 05.19

Sure, I will do it.
[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]

rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>

28 April 2022 pukul 13.10

Kepada: Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi <editor@pegegog.net>

Review of the article titled

The effect of blended project-based learning for enhancing student's scientific literacy skills: An experimental study in university

I've finished.


[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



← Back to Submissions

Review: The effect of blended project-based learning for enhancing student's scientific literacy skills: An experimental study in University

1. Request **2. Guidelines** **3. Download & Review** **4. Completion**

Review Files		Q Search	
 8246	Pegegog+Pegem+Manuscript-Wilyati+Finall (1).docx	April 25, 2022	Blinded manuscript

Reviewer Guidelines

[Review Guidelines](#)

Pegegog Review Form

1) Does the title reflect the content of the study? *

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the Title, if any, into the following field.


2) Does the abstract summarize the essential information in the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the Abstract, if any, into the following field.

3) Does the introduction section adequately explain the problems the study address and the framework of the study? Are the importance and the contribution/implications of the study clearly stated?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Introduction, if any, into the following field.

Improvements to the introductory part, it is recommended that it be presented systematically

4) Are research questions and/or hypotheses in line with the focus of the study?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Research Questions or Hypotheses , if any, into the following field.

Nihil hypothesis statements and alternative hypotheses have not been presented explicitly

5) Are the method and technique(s) employed appropriate for the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Method or Technique, if any, into the following field.

According to reviewers, experimental research begins with testing of experimental groups an

6) Is the sample or the participants pertinent to the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Sample or Participants, if any, into the following field.

The grouping of sample types on gender (male and female) and age (17, 18, and 19) has noth

7) Are the data collection instruments employed appropriate for the study? *

Yes, acceptable. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Data Collection Instruments, if any, into the following field.

8) Are the data analyses employed appropriate for the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Data Analyses , if any, into the following field.

It is recommended that data analysis be carried out in full (1) testing of the results of pre-test

9) Are the presented results in accord with the research questions and/or the hypotheses?

*


Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Results, if any, into the following field.

Presentation of results adjusted to test results (as noted in number 8)

10) Does the discussion section address adequately both results and research questions/hypotheses?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestion about the Discussions, if any, into the following field.

Focused on comparing research findings based on hypothesis analysis and testing with the th

11) Is the conclusion logically supported by the obtained results? *Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Conclusion, if any, into the following field.

Conclusions are recommended focused on the answers to research questions. Suggestions w

12) Is limitations and suggestions section sufficient and pertinents to the scope of the study?

*

Yes, acceptable. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Limitation and/or Suggestion, if any, into the following field.

13) Are the references used relevant and up-to-date? *Yes, acceptable. 

Please, write your suggestions about the References, if any, into the following field.

14) Are the paper's quality, structure and grammar excellent and perfectly crafted?

*

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the study's quality, structure and grammar, if any, into the following field.

15) Is the paper content original? *

Yes, acceptable.

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Author(s)): *

Briefly advised the author to make improvements to the part (1) introduction, (2) systematic literature studies, (3) improvements in research methods on the design and analysis of data, (4) improvements to the discussion, (5) improvements in conclusions by separating between conclusions and suggestions

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Editor): *

Briefly the article to be submitted back to the author for improvements to section (1) introduction, (2) systematic literature studies, (3) improvement of research methods on data design and analysis, (4) improvements to discussions, (5) improvements in conclusions by separating between conclusions and suggestions

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

Reviewer Files	Q Search
<i>No Files</i>	

Review Discussions	Add discussion			
Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
<i>No Items</i>				

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

Revisions Required	▼
--------------------	---

[Submit Review](#)[Save for Later](#)[Go Back](#)

* Denotes required field



rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>

[PEGEGOG] Article Review Request

2 pesan

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi <editor@pegegog.net>
Kepada: rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>

2 Mei 2022 pukul 13.36

Dear rohmad rohmad

I believe that you would serve as an excellent reviewer of the manuscript, "The Effect of Integral Teaching with Multiple Representations on Concept Definition According to Thinking Structures," which has been submitted to Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction. The submission's abstract is inserted below, and I hope that you will consider undertaking this important task for us.

Please log into the journal web site by 2022-05-16 to indicate whether you will undertake the review or not, as well as to access the submission and to record your review and recommendation.

The review itself is due 2022-05-30.

Submission URL: <https://www.pegegog.net/index.php/pegegog/reviewer/submission?submissionId=1961&reviewId=2216&key=4p7Gz6>

Thank you for considering this request.

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi
editor@pegegog.net

"The Effect of Integral Teaching with Multiple Representations on Concept Definition According to Thinking Structures"

In this study, it was aimed to investigate the effect of supporting the teaching of the concept of integral with multiple representations in algebraic, graphical and numerical terms on pre-service teachers' concept definitions and the effect of pre-service teachers' thinking structures on concept definitions in this process. In this study, in which the descriptive method was used, a quasi-experimental research design was used as the aim was to examine the effect of the change in the presentation of the course content on the concept definition. The study group consists of 39 pre-service teachers who are studying in the undergraduate program of a state university. The Mathematical Process Instrument was used to determine the thinking structures of pre-service teachers, and the Integral Concept Test was used to determine the definitions of the concept of integral. When looking at the answers about integral in open-ended form, it was concluded that thinking structures did not affect the way of expressing the concept of integral, instead, the teaching style supported with multiple representations was effective in the answers. This gives an idea that supporting the curriculum and course contents with multiple representations can increase the cognitive performance of students.

For Frequently Asked Questions

Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi

rohmad rohmad <rohmad@uinsaizu.ac.id>
Kepada: Pegem Eğitim ve Öğretim Dergisi <editor@pegegog.net>

2 Mei 2022 pukul 13.56

Sure, I will do it.


[Kutipan teks disembunyikan]



← Back to Submissions

Review: The Effect of Integral Teaching with Multiple Representations on Concept Definition According to Thinking Structures

1. Request **2. Guidelines** 3. Download & Review **4. Completion**

Review Files		Q Search	
	8292 PEGEM-isimsiz.docx	May 2, 2022	Blinded manuscript

Reviewer Guidelines

[Review Guidelines](#)

Pegegog Review Form

1) Does the title reflect the content of the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Title, if any, into the following field.

It is necessary to include pre-service teachers' thinking structure in the title of the article

2) Does the abstract summarize the essential information in the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Abstract, if any, into the following field.

Data collection techniques and data analysis techniques should be explicitly listed. Keywords |

3) Does the introduction section adequately explain the problems the study address and the framework of the study? Are the importance and the contribution/implications of the study clearly stated?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Introduction, if any, into the following field.

The introduction section focuses on the definition of the main concept (e.g., the structure of t

4) Are research questions and/or hypotheses in line with the focus of the study?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Research Questions or Hypotheses , if any, into the following field.

Less in line with the theme, focus on the influence... towards ...

5) Are the method and technique(s) employed appropriate for the study? *

Not entirely, needs major revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Method or Technique, if any, into the following field.

Experimental design to test the influence... towards... it would be more appropriate to use exp

6) Is the sample or the participants pertinent to the study? *

Not entirely, needs major revision. ▼

Please, write your suggestions about the Sample or Participants, if any, into the following field.

Sample selection needs to be done carefully and thoroughly. Measurements are needed befo

7) Are the data collection instruments employed appropriate for the study? *

Yes, but needs minor revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the Data Collection Instruments, if any, into the following field.

The instrument used refers to the old reference, so it needs to be modified according to the c

8) Are the data analyses employed appropriate for the study? *

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the Data Analyses , if any, into the following field.

Analysis for influence testing should refer to the results of measurements and hypothesis test

9) Are the presented results in accord with the research questions and/or the hypotheses?

*

Not entirely, needs major revision.

Please, write your suggestions about the Results, if any, into the following field.

Presentation of results is not optimal

10) Does the discussion section address adequately both results and research questions/hypotheses?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestion about the Discussions, if any, into the following field.

The discussion section is described in paragraphs 3 and 4 in the conclusion section. It is recon

11) Is the conclusion logically supported by the obtained results? *Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Conclusion, if any, into the following field.

Conclusions should be focused on answering research questions

12) Is limitations and suggestions section sufficient and pertinents to the scope of the study?

*

Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the Limitation and/or Suggestion, if any, into the following field.

There is no description of the limitations of the study. Suggestions for research results are pre

13) Are the references used relevant and up-to-date? *Yes, but needs minor revision. 

Please, write your suggestions about the References, if any, into the following field.

References used are not up to date published in 1976 – 2014. Ideally using the latest reference

14) Are the paper's quality, structure and grammar excellent and perfectly crafted?

*

Yes, acceptable.

Please, write your suggestions about the study's quality, structure and grammar, if any, into the following field.

15) Is the paper content original? *

Yes, but needs minor revision.

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Author(s)): *

Your article needs to be focused on research questions. Influence testing ... towards... It would be more appropriate to use the right experimental design (there are experimental groups and control groups). Data collection instruments need to be modified according to the current context. Measurement and testing of hypotheses with certain criteria need to be done. Presentation of results, discussions, research limitations and suggestions need to be presented separately. Recommended use up to date references (last 5 years)

Please state your suggestions about the revisions in detail (For Editor): *

The submitted article, if it will be accepted for publication, a major revision is required in a number of sections

Upload

Upload files you would like the editor and/or author to consult, including revised versions of the original review file(s).

Reviewer Files	Q Search
<i>No Files</i>	

Review Discussions					Add discussion
Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed	
<i>No Items</i>					

Recommendation

Select a recommendation and submit the review to complete the process. You must enter a review or upload a file before selecting a recommendation.

 ▼[Submit Review](#)[Save for Later](#)[Go Back](#)

* Denotes required field